



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/843,637	04/26/2001		Shuhei Marukawa	10873.703US01	9215
23552	7590	12/16/2003		EXAMINER	
MERCHAN		OULD PC	CHANEY, CAROL DIANE		
P.O. BOX 2903 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-0903				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,				1745	
				DATE MAILED: 12/16/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			YB
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/843,637	MARUKAWA ET	ΓAĹ.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Carol Chaney	1745	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover s	heet with the correspondence a	address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	36(a). In no event, howeve y within the statutory minimu will apply and will expire SIXs, cause the application to be	r, may a reply be timely filed um of thirty (30) days will be considered tim ( (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this ecome ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 S	eptember 2003.		
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E			he merits is
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are pending in the applicat	ion.	,	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from considerati	on.	·
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are rejected.	• .		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			•
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requireme	ent.	
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine			
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc	•		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	• , ,	•	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	•		• •
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the a	tached Office Action or form F	210-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		100001440()(1)	
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority document</li> </ul>			
2. Certified copies of the priority document			10
<ol> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the prior</li> <li>application from the International Bureau</li> </ol>	-		al Stage
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list			
<ul> <li>13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic since a specific reference was included in the first 37 CFR 1.78.</li> <li>a) The translation of the foreign language pro</li> </ul>	st sentence of the s	pecification or in an Applicatio	
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti			e a specific
reference was included in the first sentence of th			
Attachment(s)			
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _</li> </ol>	5). 🔲 No	erview Summary (PTO-413) Paper N tice of Informal Patent Application (P her:	
·	•—		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 11-03)



Application/Control Number: 09/843,637

Art Unit: 1745

#### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 19 September 2003 has been entered.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Shimakawa et al., US Patent 5,817,435, for essentially the reasons of record.

Shimakawa et al. disclose a battery comprising two end plates (33) and a plurality of cells (10) stacked adjacent to each other and bound by the end plates. The cells include a casing (12) of polyphenylene ether, polystyrene and preferably an elastomer. (column 3, lines 50-55,) The dimensions of the end plates and the binding members, which determine binding forces, are set in part by the number of stacked cells in the battery. (Column 6, lines 13-28.) The end plates and binding rods will cause the battery containers to be compressed immediately after assembly. As can be seen from Table 3 in column 8 of the Shimakawa et al. reference, battery casings were held at



Application/Control Number: 09/843,637

Art Unit: 1745

60°C with an internal pressure of 0.2 MPa, or about 2 atmosphere, for over 10,000 hours without breakage. Since the battery cases did not break, there was clearly no irreversible deformation beyond an expansion limit of the battery container.

With regards to claim 2, it is noted from a comparison of Figs. 3 and 4 of the Shimakawa et al. patent that that the cells include a plate group, and the cells and the electrode plates are stacked in the same direction.

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 29 September 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicants assert there is no basis to assume that the force applied in the Shimakawa et al. battery is below the threshold required by the instant claims. Applicants claims require "irreversible deformation beyond an expansion limit of the battery container will not be caused by expansion of the battery container during use." The "expansion limit" of the container is taken to be the point after which the battery container will break. As shown in Table 6 of the Shimakawa et al. reference, no breakage occurs, and therefore the expansion limit has not been exceeded.

Applicants further assert that Shimakawa et al. are silent as to the battery being in a compressed state after assembly. It is noted that Shimakawa et al. at column 6, lines 17-18 describe battery cells which are "tightly bound" which is interpreted as compressed.



Application/Control Number: 09/843,637

Art Unit: 1745

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Carol Chaney whose telephone number is (703) 305-3777. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Fri 8:00am-4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick Ryan can be reached on 703-308-2383. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9310.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

Carol Chaney
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1745